smart, for being honest, for being wise, for having integrity, and finally, Mr. President, for his unfailing courtesy. I have never known Senator Brown not to be courteous to those around him regardless of party, regardless of circumstance. We will miss him in the U.S. Senate.

Another person with the same unfailing courtesy and integrity is Senator Paul SIMON from Illinois. Now, PAUL SIMON and I are of different political parties and certainly our philosophies differ a great deal, yet I think working with Senator SIMON is a good example of how significant philosophical differences do not mean that you cannot work with each other and respect each other. He has been as courteous to me as any Member of this body, notwithstanding the fact we are of different political parties.

In the tension-filled atmosphere we sometimes find ourselves in, I find that to be a comfortable refuge. I do not think anyone here is given more respect in either body than Senator SIMON because of his integrity and his unfailing courtesy. I hope I have reciprocated in my dealings with him.

He has also, I think, influenced us because when he speaks, we listen. He always has something important to say. That is especially so because we know that he approaches issues honestly. As I said, Mr. President, I will miss his company in this body.

Finally, my colleague in the House, BOB WALKER. I served with Representative WALKER when I was a Member of the House. I worked with him on mutual matters of interest since I have been in this body. Like HANK BROWN and PAUL SIMON, BOB WALKER is a man of unquestionable integrity. He knows what he believes. He knows why he believes it. He acts upon those beliefs without undue influence by the forces around him. His actions have always been characterized by courage and by adherence to principle, which is somewhat in short supply in Washington on occasion.

He, too, has had enormous influence on the legislation in this Congress, much of it behind the scenes, because people know him to be well-versed in the issues and to be very honest in his approach to them. I also want to say one last thing about Representative WALKER. As much as anyone I have known, he represents an attitude about the future that I think we can all emulate. He has great confidence in the future of this country because he has great confidence in our ability to advance based upon the technology that is there for us to discover, and he has supported a great many projects as chairman of the Space, Science, Technology Committee in the House, because of his confidence and optimism in our future.

Mostly, BOB WALKER has been my personal friend, and I will miss him a great deal, as well. So, Mr. President, much has been said about a lot of the people who will be leaving this body

and the House. I mention these three because I have worked closely with all of them. I respect them very much. In some respects, they epitomize the qualities that we respect as colleagues, and I know the American people respect. We will miss them and all of the others who will be retiring at the end of this year.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. STE-VENS). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FAREWELL TO SENATOR MARK HATFIELD

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, in the time that we have, prior to the time the majority leader comes back to the floor, I have a couple of statements that I would like to make with regard to two very respected colleagues.

Mr. President, in the study of political courage, "Profiles in Courage", Senator John F. Kennedy observed that "in the United States of America, where brother once fought against brother, we do not judge a man's bravery under fire by examining the banner under which he fought."

With this in mind, I say farewell to a Senator who has been a study in political courage, the Senior Senator from Oregon, MARK HATFIELD.

His has, indeed, been a career of bold stands. From his early days in the Senate, when he cosponsored legislation to limit American's involvement in the war in Vietnam, to his votes on the Persian Gulf war, to his recent vote against the balance budget constitutional amendment, Senator HATFIELD has consistently taken independent, courageous stands.

I have not always with him. But that is not the issue.

The issue is the courage each Senator shows in taking a stand for a principle he or she holds dear. The willingness to place principle above politics. The country over one individual career.

Indeed, Mr. President, Senator HAT-FIELD's entire life has been one of courage, responsibility, devotion to country. As a young naval officer in World War II, he saw battle at Iwo Jima and Okinawa, and was one of the first Americans to enter the rubble of what was left of Hiroshima following the atomic bombing.

His deep aversion to weaponry and war following World War II led him to cast the lone dissenting vote on resolution at the 1965 and 1966 National Governor's Conferences supporting President Johnson's policies in Vietnam. And it lead him to sponsor legislation, like the Nuclear Freeze Resolution, to half the nuclear arms race.

He became the youngest Secretary of State in Oregon's history, the State's

first two-term Governor in the 20th century, and the longest serving Senator in the history of his State.

While serving in the Senate for nearly three decades, Senator HATFIELD has never allowed himself to be confined to or consumed by institutional duties, as he has maintained a life outside this Chamber. As a former political science professor and dean of students, for example, he has retained his intellectual interests and pursuits. This includes authoring three books and authoring four others.

But I also point out that Senator HATFIELD's career in public service has been one of cooperation and reconciliation, as well as hard, tenacious work. As chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee, he has earned the respect and admiration of Senate Democrats and Senate gains like Senator ROBERT BYRD.

He has struggled to maintain that delicate balance between protecting the precious, beautiful environment of his home State, while preserving the economic viability of Oregon's industries.

His efforts have obviously been recognized and appreciated by the people of his home State. In four decades in Oregon politics, he has never lost an election.

In announcing his retirement, Senator HATFIELD spoke of the one great sacrifice of having served five terms in the Senate—"30 years of voluntary separation from the State" he loves. Now, as he says, it is "time to come home to Oregon." I wish him and his wife, Antoinette, peace and prosperity in returning home. I can only say that the Senate's loss is Oregon's gain.

SENATOR WILLIAM S. COHEN

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I want to pay tribute today to a very distinguished Member who is retiring this year. I am referring to Senator WILLIAM S. COHEN, who, as we all know, has made the decision to leave the Senate at the end of this session of Congress.

I think it is fair to say that with unanimity we all agree that this man will be missed.

Since he was first elected to the House of Representatives in 1972 and later, in 1978, to the Senate, BILL COHEN has shown a genuine commitment to public service.

ment to public service.

BILL COHEN has made unique contributions as a man with great knowledge of, and a deep respect for, the power of language. He has been a champion of the cause of making political discourse more civil and has promoted civility within this body through his daily interaction with each of us. The author or coauthor of eight books, he has graced the Senate with elegant speeches on some of the most important issues of our time. They have also, on more than one occasion, served as a stern warning of the cost of straying from principle.

I recall when Senator COHEN stood on this floor 5 years ago during the debate over the Civil Rights Act of 1991. He quoted to us from Richard Wright's book, "Native Son" to remind us of the cost of this Nation's terrible legacy of discrimination. "It's like living in a jail," said one of the characters, a young African-American boy. "Half the time I feel I'm on the outside of the world peeping in through a knothole in the fence."

That day BILL COHEN reminded us how racism eats away at the human spirit and turns hope to despair. His willingness to stand firm against a storm of partisan pressure ensured that all Americans would take one more step toward a world free of discrimination.

BILL COHEN has shown that willingness in other key situations, too. As a young Representative in 1974, he was one of few members who crossed party lines to hold the President accountable for his actions, and he was the sole Republican vote against last year's Republican budget reconciliation package.

He has also been a leader in terms of bipartisan initiatives, especially in the area of defense, where he has developed special expertise. Throughout his career, he has been a strong supporter of important arms control measures and has worked to build bipartisan coalitions to implement them.

As he leaves us after nearly 25 years, I think it is only fitting to say farewell to one of our most literary Senators with an appropriate quotation. It was John Steinbeck who wrote in his novel "East of Eden" that a successful person was one, "whose effective life was devoted to making men brave and dignified and good in a time when they were poor and frightened and when ugly forces were loose in the world to utilize their fears."

BILL COHEN is indeed a successful person.

We wish him great success in his future. We hope that he returns many times for he has many friends here on both sides of the aisle.

Mr. President, I note the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

STERLING FOREST

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, within the last hour or so I have had several calls in my office from various media, including the New York Times, asking for comments on why the Senator from Alaska would insist on killing the passage of the Sterling Forest—that is that issue that affects both New Jersey and New York, with a purchase of private lands with Federal funds—by insisting that my Tongass

provision prevail? And how could I possibly take such an action and stop this

First of all, I think it is important for the Record to note the circumstances, as I understand them, that occurred in the House among the leadership at approximately 6:30 this morning, or thereabouts, because I think it reflects on the process around here. Some of it does need some airing. At that time, it was the intent of the leadership on the House side to include in the CR, to accommodate Senator HATFIELD and his contribution to this body, the so-called Mount Hood Parks package, and other incidental considerations.

Then, there was a communication from the White House that there should be an accommodation on another issue as well, and that was the San Francisco Bay cleanup proposal, a proposal that is worthy, a proposal that is in the omnibus parks bill, as well as the Sterling Forest, which is in that bill, which I support.

Now, there was no effort in that dialog to suggest that the San Francisco Bay was added anymore than to complement the accommodation on Mount Hood; and to suggest that we were in some way responsible for removing Sterling Forest from that legislative structure is absolutely incorrect and misleading, to say the least. Sterling Forest was subject to a point of order in the House under a blue line, for technical reasons, and that was of no concern to this body.

So, I would say to my colleagues, as some begin to point the finger of blame, that while it had been understood that the leadership was going to attempt to accommodate the Sterling Forest, initially, to complement the Mount Hood and Hatfield package, that the Tongass matter did not enter into that consideration under any terms or circumstances. And if the leadership and those attending that meeting $sa\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}$ fit to remove the Sterling Forest from that deliberation, that was entirely their own accord. They may have felt it may have been more politically expedient to add the San Francisco Bay cleanup to the CR, rather than the Sterling Forest. I guess it is fair to say that is beyond my pay grade.

But I want the RECORD to reflect that, as chairman of the Energy and Natural Resources Committee, we stand ready to continue a dialog on the 126 sections that are in the parks-Presidio package. We have indicated a willingness to work with the administration, by letter which was sent down there last night.

So that we can all understand the current posture, it is my understanding that in the CR, there will be two items now. There will be the Mount Hood and the San Francisco Bay cleanup as a consequence of the leadership action taken in the House. There will not be the Sterling Forest, there will not be the Tongass, there will not be the Utah Snow Basin, nor the other 123 very im-

portant items that we reported out of our committee.

So, if any of the House Members are suggesting that the chairman has stood in the way of trying to pass this omnibus legislation, the record should reflect otherwise and should reflect specifically that my initial interest was a 15-year extension for the Ketchikan sawmill, which I withdrew after the administration threatened to veto that. That was a pretty significant sacrifice, but nevertheless, it was made.

I think that should provide an adequate explanation for those who suggest that somehow we stood in the way of the leadership action, in moving on the CR accompanying the Mount Hood package, that we stood in the way of the Sterling Forest. We did not.

I thank the Chair. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO SENATOR MARK HATFIELD

Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, in the next day or two, we will be closing the 104th Congress. One of the things we will be doing is saying farewell to some of our colleagues who have served this institution and served our country so well.

One of the colleagues I would like to recognize today who I have the greatest respect for is Senator HATFIELD who served this body and our country so well for the last 30 years in the U.S. Senate.

Prior to that, he served the State of Oregon for 8 years as Governor. He also served in the Oregon House of Representatives, the Oregon Senate, and also served as Oregon Secretary of State, and had a distinguished career in the Navy, including the time during World War II.

MARK HATFIELD is a unique Senator, a courageous individual, independent, sometimes stubborn, a person with strong personal religious convictions, a person whom I know people on both sides of the aisle, Democrat and Republican, have really grown to know, to love, and respect. His years of service as the Appropriations chairman and also ranking member on the Appropriations Committee have been outstanding. He has an outstanding rapport with my colleague, Senator BYRD. To see the two of them work in tandem, and work so well, has certainly been a role model for all of us.

He also, as I think some of my colleagues know, is a historian, a lover and collector of items in relation to Abraham Lincoln. He is a person who has made invaluable contributions to this body and to our country. Certainly